

INFANT-BAPTISM,

A

Part and Pillar of Popery :

BEING A

VINDICATION

OF A

Paragraph in a Preface to a Reply to Mr.
Clarke's Defence of Infant-Baptism.

By *JOHN GILL*, D. D.

John 5. 39. *Search the Scriptures.*

THIRD EDITION.

LONDON: Printed.

BOSTON: Re-printed for, and Sold by PHILIP
FREEMAN, at his Shop in Union-Street. 1769.

2 2211





INFANT-BAPTISM,

A

Part and Pillar of Popery.

BEING called upon, in a public manner, to give proof of what I have said concerning infant-baptism, in a preface to my reply to Mr. *Clarke's* Defence, &c. or to expunge it. I readily agree to the former, and shall endeavour to explain myself, and defend what I have written ; but it will be proper first to recite the whole paragraph, which stands thus : “ The *Pædobaptists* are ever restless and uneasy, endeavouring to maintain and support, if possible, their unscriptural practice of *infant-baptism* ; though it is no other than a pillar of *popery* ; that by which *Antichrist* has spread his baneful influence over many nations ; is the basis of national churches and worldly establishments ; that which unites the church and world, and keeps them together ; nor can there be a full separation of the one from the other, nor a thorough reformation in religion, until it is wholly removed : And though it has so long and largely obtained, and still does obtain ; I believe with a firm and unshaken faith, that the time is hastening on, when infant-baptism will be no more practised in the world ; when churches will be formed on the same plan they were in the times of the apostles ; when gospel-doctrine and discipline will be restored to their primitive lustre and

and purity ; when the ordinances of baptism and the Lord's supper will be administred as they were first delivered, clear of all present corruption and superstition ; all which will be accomplished, when " The Lord shall be king over all the earth, and there shall be one Lord and his name one." Now the whole of this consists of several articles or propositions, which I shall re-consider in their order.

I. That infant-baptism is a part and pillar of popery ; that by which Antichrist has spread his baneful influence over many nations : I use the phrase *infant-baptism* here and throughout, because of the common use of it ; otherwise the practice which now obtains, may with greater propriety be called *infant-sprinkling*. That, unwritten traditions with the *Papists* are equally the rule of faith and practice, as the holy scriptures, will not be doubted of by any conversant with their writings. The council of *Trent* asserts (*a*), that " Traditions respecting both faith and manners orally delivered and preserved successively in the catholic church, are to be received with equal affection of piety and reverence as the books of the Old and New Testament ;" yea the *Popish* writers prefer traditions to scripture. *Bellarmino* says (*b*), " The Scriptures without tradition, are neither simply necessary, nor sufficient, but unwritten traditions are necessary. Tradition alone is sufficient, but the scriptures are not sufficient." Another of their writers (*c*) asserts, that " The authority of ecclesiastic traditions is more fit than the scriptures to ascertain any thing doubtful, even that which may be made out from scripture ; since the common opinion of the church and ecclesiastical tradition are clearer, and more open and

(*a*) Sess 4. Decret. de canon. script. (*b*) De Verbo Dei c. 4. sect 1. 6 (*c*) Pighius apud Rivet. Cathol. Orthodox. Tract. 1. qu. 6. p. 99.

and truly inflexible ; when, on the contrary, the scriptures have frequently much obscurity in them, and may be drawn here and there like a nose of wax ; and, as a leaden rule, may be applied to every impious opinion." *Bailey* (d) the *Jesuit*, thus expresses himself, " I will go further and say, we have as much need of tradition as of scripture, yea more ; because the scripture ministers to us only the dead and mute letter, but tradition, by means of the ministry of the church, gives us the true sense, which is not had distinctly in the scripture ; wherein, notwithstanding, rather consists the word of God than in the alone written letter ; it is sufficient for a good catholic, if he understands it is tradition, nor need he to enquire after any thing else : " And by *tradition*, they mean not tradition delivered in the scripture, but distinct from it, and without it ; unwritten tradition, apostolical tradition, as they frequently call it ; not delivered by the apostles in the sacred scriptures, but by word of mouth to their successors, or to the churches : That we may not mistake them, *Andradius* tells us, " That of necessity those traditions also must be believed, which can be proved by no testimony of scripture : " And *Petrus a Soto* still more plainly and openly affirms ; " It is, says he, a rule intallible and catholic, that whatsoever things the church of *Rome* believeth, holdeth and keepeth, and are not delivered in the scriptures, the same came by tradition from the apostles ; also all such observations and ceremonies, whose beginning, author, and original are not known, or cannot be found, out of all doubt they were delivered by the apostles (e). This is what is meant by apostolic tradition.

Now the essentials of popery, or the peculiarities of it, are all founded upon this, even upon apostolic and

(d) Apud ib. p. 142. (e) See the Abstract of the History of Popery, part ii. p. 252, 253.

and ecclesiastic tradition ; this is the *Pandora* from whence they all spring ; this is the rule to which all are brought, and by which they are confirmed ; and what is it, be it ever so foolish, impious and absurd, but what may be proved hereby, if this is admitted of as a rule and test ? It is upon this foot the Papists assert and maintain the observation of *Easter*, on the Lord's day following the 14th of *March*, the fast of *Quadragesima* or Lent, the adoration of images and relicks, the invocation of saints, the worship of the sign of the cross, the sacrifice of the mass, transubstantiation, the abrogation of the use of the cup in the Lord's supper, holy water, extreme unction or the chrism, prayers for the dead, auricular confession, sale of pardons, purgatory, pilgrimages, monastic vows, &c.

Among apostolical traditions infant-baptism is to be reckoned ; and it is upon this account it is pleaded for. The first person that asserted infant-baptism and approved of it, represents it as a tradition from the apostles, whether he be *Origen*, or his translator and interpolator, *Ruffinus* ; his words are, " For this (i. e. for original sin) the church has received a tradition from the apostles, even to give baptism unto infants (*f*)". *Austin*, who was a warm advocate for infant-baptism, puts it upon this footing, as a custom of the church, not to be despised, and as an apostolic tradition generally received by the church (*g*) ; he lived in the *fourth* century, the same *Ruffinus* did ; and probably it was from his *Latin* translation of *Origen*, *Austin* took the hint of infant-baptism being an apostolic tradition ; since no other ecclesiastical writer speaks of it before as such ; so that,

as

(*f*) *Origen. Comment. in Epist. ad Roman. l. 5. fol. 178. 1.* (*g*) *De Genes. l. 10. c. 21. et de Baptismo contr. Donat. l. 4. c. 23, 24.*

as bishop *Taylor* (*b*) observes, "This apostolical tradition is but a testimony of one person, and he condemned of many errors ; so that, as he says, to derive this from the apostles on no greater authority, is a great argument that he is credulous and weak, that shall be determined by so weak a probation, in a matter of so great concernment ;" and yet it is by this that many are determined in this affair : And not only *Popish* writers, as *Bellarmino* and others, make it to be an apostolical tradition unwritten ; but some *Protestant Pædobaptists* shew a good will to place infant-baptism among the unwritten sayings and traditions of Christ or his apostles, and satisfy themselves therewith. Mr. *Fuller* (*i*) says, "We do freely confess that there is neither express *precept* nor *precedent* in the New Testament for the baptizing of infants ; yet observes, that St. *John* saith, chap. xxi. 25. *And there are also many other things, which Jesus did, which are not written ;* among which, for aught appears to the contrary, the baptizing of these infants (those whom Christ took in his arms and blessed) might be one of them." In like manner, Mr. *Walker* (*k*) argues, "It doth not follow, our Saviour gave no precept for the baptizing of infants, because no such precept is particularly expressed in the scripture ; for our Saviour spoke many things to his disciples concerning the kingdom of God, both before his passion, and also after his resurrection, which are not *written* in the *scriptures* ; and who can say, but that among those many *unwritten* sayings of his, there might be an express *precept* for *infant-baptism* ?" And Mr. *Leigh*, one of the disputants in the *Portsmouth-disputation* (*l*), suggests, that though *infant-baptism*

(*b*) *Liberty of Prophecyng*, p. 320. (*i*) *Infants Advocate*, p. 71. 150. (*k*) *Modest Plea*, p. 268. (*l*) *Narrative of the Portsmouth-Disputation*, p. 16, 17, 18.

baptism is not to be found in the writings of the apostle *Paul* extant in the scriptures, yet it might be in some writings of his which are lost, and not now extant ; all which is plainly giving up infant-baptism as contained in the sacred writings ; and placing it upon unwritten, apostolical tradition, and that too, conjectural and uncertain.

Now infant-baptism, with all the ceremonies attending it, for which also apostolical tradition is pleaded, makes a very considerable figure in *Papish* pagentry ; which according to pretended apostolical tradition, is performed in a very pompous manner ; as by consecration of the water, using sponsors, who answer to the interrogatories, and make the renunciation in the name of the infant, exorcisms, exsufflations, crossings, the use of salt, spittle, and oil. Before the party is baptized, the water is consecrated in a very solemn manner ; the priest makes an exorcism first ; three times, he exsufflates or breathes into the water, in the figure of a cross, saying, I adjure thee, O creature of water ; and here he divides the water after the manner of a cross, and makes three or four crossings ; he takes a horn of oil, and pours it three times upon the water in the likeness of a cross, and makes a prayer, that the font may be sanctified, and the eternal trinity be present ; saying, descend from heaven and sanctify this water, and give grace and virtue, that he who is baptized according to the command of thy Christ, may be crucified, and die, and be buried, and rise again with him. The sponsors, or sureties, instead of the child, and in its name, recite the creed and the Lord's prayer, make the renunciation of the devil and all his works, and answer to questions put in the name of the child : The form, according to the *Roman* order, is this ; " The name of the infant being called, the presbyter must say,
Doſt

Dost thou renounce Satan ? A. I do renounce ; and all his works ? A. I do renounce ; and all his pomps ? A. I do renounce : Three times these questions are put, and three times the sureties answer." The interrogations are sometimes said to be made by a priest, sometimes by a presbyter, and sometimes by an exorcist, who was one or the other, and to which the following question also was added : " Dost thou believe in God the Father Almighty, creator of heaven and earth, &c ? A. I believe." Children to be baptized are first exsufflated or breathed and blown upon and exorcised, that the wicked spirit might be driven from them, that they might be delivered from the power of darkness, and translated into the kingdom of Christ : The *Roman* order is, " Let him (the minister, priest, deacon, or exorcist) blow into the face of the person to be baptized, three times, saying, Go out thou unclean spirit, and give place to the Holy Ghost, the comforter." The form, according to *St. Gregory*, is, " I exorcise thee, O unclean spirit, in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, that thou go out and depart from this servant of God." Salt also is put into the mouth of the infant, after it is blessed and exorcised, as a token of its being seasoned with the salt of wisdom ; and that it might be preserved from the corruption and ill favour of sin : The priest first blesses the salt after this manner : " I exorcise thee, O creature of salt ; and then being blessed, it is put into the mouth of the infant, saying, Receive the salt of wisdom unto life everlasting." The nose and ears of infants at their baptism are touched with spittle by the priest, that they may receive the favour of the knowledge of God, and their ears be opened to hear the commands of God ; and formerly spittle was put upon the eyes and upon the tongue, though it seems now disused

as to those parts ; and yet no longer than the birth of king *James the first*, it seems to have been in use ; since at his baptism his mother sent word to the archbishop to forbear the use of the spittle, saying, “ She would not have a pocky priest to spit in her child’s mouth (*m*) ;” for it seems the queen knew that the archbishop, who was *Hamilton*, archbishop of *St. Andrews*, then had the venereal disease (*n*). And so in the times of the martyrs, in queen *Mary’s* days ; for *Robert Smith* the martyr, being asked by *Bonner*, in what point do we dissent from the word of God ? meaning as to baptism ; he answered (*o*), “ First, in hallowing your water, in conjuring of the same, in baptizing children with anointing and spitting in their mouths, mingled with salt, and many other lewd ceremonies, of which not one point is able to be proved in God’s word.” All which he calls a mingle mangle. Chrism, or anointing both before and after baptism, is another ceremony used at it ; the parts anointed are the breast and shoulders ; the breast, that no remains of the latent enemy may reside in the party baptized ; and the shoulders, that he may be fortified and strengthened to do good works to the glory of God : This anointing is made in the form of a cross ; the oil is put on the breast and beneath the shoulders, making a cross with the thumb ; on making the cross on the shoulders, the priest says, “ Flee, thou unclean spirit, give honour to the living and true God ;” and when he makes it on the breast, he says, “ Go out, thou unclean spirit, give place to the Holy Ghost :” The form used in doing it is, “ I anoint thee with the oil of salvation, that thou mayest have life everlasting.” The next ceremony is that of

(*m*) Abstract of the History of Popery, part i. p. 114.

(*n*) Vid. Rivet. Animadv. in Grot. Annotat. in Cassander. Consultat. p. 72. (*o*) Fox’s Acts and Monuments, vol. 3. p. 400.

of signing the infant with the sign of the cross : This is made in several parts of the body, especially on the forehead, to signify that the party baptized should not be ashamed of the cross of Christ, and not be afraid of the enemy Satan, but manfully fight against him. After baptism, in antient times, honey and milk, or wine and milk, were given to the baptized, though now disused ; and infants were admitted to the Lord's supper, which continued some hundreds of years in the *Latin* church, and still does in the *Greek* church. Now for the proof of the use of these various ceremonies, the reader may consult *Joseph Vicecomes*, a learned *Papist*, as *Dr. Wall* calls him, in his treatise *de antiquis baptismi ritibus ac ceremoniis* ; where, and by whom, they are largely treated of, and the proofs of them given. All which are rehearsed and condemned by the antient *Waldenses* in a treatise of theirs, written in the year 1120 (p). It may be asked to what purpose is this account given of the ceremonies used by *Papists* in the administration of baptism to infants by them, since they are not used by *Protestant Pædobaptists* ? I answer, it is to shew what I proposed, namely, what a figure infant-baptism, with these attending ceremonies, makes in *popery*, and may with propriety be called a part of it ; besides though all these ceremonies are not used, yet some of them are used in some *Protestant Pædobaptist* churches ; as sureties, the interrogations made to them, and their answers in the name of infants ; the renunciation of the devil and all his works, and signing with the sign of the cross : And since these and the others, all of them claim apostolic authority, and most, if not all of them, have as good and as early a claim to it as *infant-baptism* itself ; those who admit that upon

B 2

this

(p) See Morland's History of the churches of Piedmont, p. 173.

this foot, ought to admit these ceremonies also. See a treatise of mine, called *The Argument from Apostolic tradition in favour of Infant-baptism considered*. Most of the above ceremonies are mentioned by *Basil (q)*, who lived in the 4th century, and as then in use, and which were had from apostolic tradition as said, and not from the scriptures; and, says he, "Because this is first and most common, I will mention it in the first place, as that we sign with *the sign of the cross*;—Who has taught this in scripture?—We consecrate the water of baptism and the oil of unction as well as him who receives baptism; from what scriptures? Is it not from private and secret tradition? Moreover the anointing with oil, what passage in scripture teaches this? Now a man is thrice immersed, from whence is it derived or delivered? Also the rest of what is done in baptism, as to renounce Satan and his angels, from what scripture have we it? Is not this from private and secret tradition?" And so *Austin (r)* speaks of exorcisms and exufflations used in baptism, as of antient tradition, and of universal use in the church. Now whoever receives infant-baptism on the foot of apostolic tradition, ought to receive these also, since they stand upon as good a foundation as that does.

The *Papists* attribute the rise of several of the above ceremonies to their *popes*, as sponsors, chrisms, exorcisms, &c. though perhaps they were not quite so early as they imagine, yet very early they were; and infant-baptism itself, though two or three doctors of the church had asserted and espoused it, yet it was not determined in any council until the *Milevitan* council in 418, or thereabouts, a provincial of *Africa*, in which was a canon made for *Pædobaptism*, and never

(q) De Spiritu Sancto, c. 27. (r) De Peccat. Orig.
l. 2. c. 40. & de nupt. & concup. l. 1. c. 20. & l. 2. c. 18.

never till then : So says bishop *Taylor* (*s*), with whom *Grotius* (*t*) agrees, who calls it the council of *Carthage* ; and who says in the councils no earlier mention is made of infant-baptism than in that council ; the canons of which were sent to pope *Innocent the first* (*u*), and confirmed by him : And *Austin*, who must write his book against the *Donatists* before this time, though he says (*w*), the church always held it (infant-baptism) and that it is most rightly believed to be delivered by apostolic tradition ; yet observes, that it was not instituted, or determined and settled in or by councils ; that is, as yet it was not, though it afterwards was in the above council confirmed by the said pope ; in which council *Austin* himself presided, and in which is this canon, “ Also it is our pleasure, that whoever denies that new-born infants are to be baptized,—let him be anathema ;” and which is the first council that established infant-baptism, and anathematized those that denied it ; so that it may justly be called a part of popery : Besides baptism by immersion, which continued 1300 years in the *Latin* church, excepting in the case of the *Clinicks*, and still does in the *Greek* church, was first changed into sprinkling by the *Papists* ; which is not an indifferent thing, whether performed with much or a little water, as it is usually considered ; but is of the very essence of baptism ; is that itself, and without which it is not baptism ; it being, as Sir *John Floyer* says, (*x*) *no circumstance, but the very act of baptizing* ; who observes (*y*), that aspersion, or sprinkling, was brought into the church by the *popish* schoolmen ; and our dissenters, adds he, had it from them ; the schoolmen employed their thoughts

(*s*) Liberty of Propheying, p. 320, 321. (*t*) Comment. on Matt. xix. 14. (*u*) Vid. Centuriat. Magdeburg. cent. 5. c. 9. p. 468, 473. Epist. August. Ep. 92, 93. (*w*) De Baptismo contra Donatist. l. 4. c. 24. (*x*) Essay to restore Dipping, &c. p. 44. (*y*) Ibid. p. 58.

thoughts how to find out reasons for the alteration to sprinkling, brought it into use in the 12th century :” And it must be observed, to the honour of the church of *England*, that they have not established *sprinkling* in baptism to this day ; only have permitted *pouring* in case it is certify’d the child is weakly and not able to bear dipping ; otherwise, by the *Rubric*, the priest is ordered to *dip* the child *warily* : Sprinkling received only a *Presbyterian* sanction in the times of the civil war, by the assembly of divines ; where it was carried for sprinkling against dipping by one vote only, by 25 against 24 ; and then established by an ordinance of parliament 1644 (2) : And that this change had its rise from the authority of the pope, Dr. *Wall* (a) himself acknowledges, and that the sprinkling of infants is from popery. “ All the nations of Christians, says he, that do now, or formerly did, submit to the authority of the bishop of *Rome*, do ordinarily baptize their infants by pouring or sprinkling ; and though the *English* received not this custom till after the decay of *Popery*, yet they have since received it from such neighbour-nations as had began it in the times of the *popes* power ; but all other Christians in the world, who never owned the *popes* usurped power, do, and ever did, *dip* their infants in their ordinary use ;” so that infant-baptism, both with respect to subjects and mode, may with great propriety be called a part and branch of popery.

But it is not only a part of popery, and so serves to strengthen it, as a part does the whole ; but it is a *pillar* of it ; what serves greatly to support it ; and which furnishes the *Papists* with one of the strongest Arguments against the *Protestants* in favour of their traditions, on which, as we have seen, the essentials of
popery

(2) Essay to restore Dipping, &c. p. 12. 22. (a) History of Infant-baptism, part ii. p. 477.

popery are founded, and of the authority of the church to alter the rites of divine worship : They sadly embarrass *Pædobaptists Protestants* with the affair of infant-baptism, and urge them either to prove it by scripture, both with respect to mode and subjects, or allow of unscriptural traditions and the authority of the church, or give it up ; and if they can allow of unwritten traditions, and the custom and practice of the church, as of authority in one point, why not in others ? This way of arguing, as Mr. *Stennett* (b) observes, is used by cardinal *Du Perron*, in his reply to the answer of king *James* the first, and by Mr. *John Ainsworth*, against Mr. *Henry Ainsworth*, in the dispute between them, and by *Fisher*, the *Jesuit*, against archbishop *Laud* ; a late instance of this kind, he adds, we have in the controversy between *Monfieur Bossuet*, bishop of *Meaux*, and a learned anonymous writer, said to be *Monfieur de la Roque*, late pastor of the reformed church at *Roan* in *Normandy*. The bishop, in order to defend the withholding the cup in the Lord's supper from the laity, according to the authority of the church, urged that infant-baptism, both as to mode and subject, was unscriptural, and solely by the authority of tradition and custom, with which the pretended *Reformed* complied, and therefore why not in the other case ? Which produced this ingenuous confession from his antagonist, that to baptize by *sprinkling* was certainly an *abuse* derived from the *Romish* church, without due examination, as well as many other things, which he and his brethren were resolved to correct, and thanked the bishop for undeceiving them ; and freely confessed, that as to the baptism of infants, there is nothing formal or express in the gospel to justify the necessity of it ; and that the passages produced do at most only prove that it

is

(b) Answer to Ruffen, p. 173, &c.

is permitted, or rather, that it is not forbidden to baptize them. In the times of king *Charles* the second, lived Mr. *Jeremiah Ives*, a *Baptist* minister, famous for his talent at disputation, of whom the king having heard, sent for him to dispute with a *Romish* priest ; the which he did before the king and many others, in the habit of a clergyman : Mr. *Ives* pressed the priest closely, shewing that whatever antiquity they pretended to, their doctrine and practices could by no means be proved apostolic ; since they are not to be found in any writings which remain of the apostolic age ; the priest, after much wrangling, in the end replied, that this argument of Mr. *Ives* was of as much force against *infant-baptism*, as against the doctrines and ceremonies of the church of *Rome* : to which Mr. *Ives* answered, that he readily granted what he said to be true ; the priest upon this broke up the dispute, saying, he had been cheated, and that he would proceed no further ; for he came to dispute with a clergyman of the established church, and it was now evident, that this was an *Anabaptist* preacher. This behaviour of the priest afforded his majesty and all present not a little diversion (c) : and as *Protestant Paedobaptists* are urged by this argument to admit the unwritten traditions of the *Papists* ; so dissenters of the *Paedobaptist* persuasion are pressed upon the same footing by those of the church of *England* to comply with the ceremonies of that church, retained from the church of *Rome*, particularly by Dr. *Whitby* (d) ; who having pleaded for some condescension to be made to dissenters, in order to reconcile them to the church, adds ; “ and on the other hand, says he, if notwithstanding the evidence produced, that *baptism* by *immersion*, is suitable both to the institution of

(c) Crosby's History of the Baptists, vol. 4. p. 247, 248.
 (d) Protestant Reconciler, p. 289.

of our Lord and his apostles ; and was by them ordained to represent our burial with Christ, and so our dying unto sin, and our conformity to his resurrection by newness of life ; as the apostle doth clearly maintain the meaning of that rite : I say, if notwithstanding this, all our *dissenters* (i. e. who are *Pædobaptists*, he must mean) do agree to *sprinkle* the *baptized infant* ; why may they not as well submit to the significant ceremonies imposed by our church ? For, since it is as lawful to *add* unto Christ's institutions a significant ceremony, as to diminish a significant ceremony, which he or his apostles instituted ; and use another in its stead, which they never did institute ; what reason can they have to do the latter, and yet refuse submission to the former ? And why should not the peace and union of the church be as prevailing with them, to perform the one, as is their mercy to the *infants body* to neglect the other ?” Thus infant-baptism is used as the grand plea for compliance with the ceremonies both of the church of *Rome* and of the church of *England*.

I have added in the preface referred to, where stands the above clause, that infant-baptism is “ that by which *Antichrist* has spread his baneful influence over many nations ;” which is abundantly evident, since by the *christening* of children through baptism, introduced by him, he has made whole countries and nations Christians, and has christened them by the name of *Christendom* ; and thereby has enlarged his universal church, over which he claims an absolute power and authority, as being Christ's vicar on earth ; and by the same means he retains his influence over nations, and keeps them in awe and in obedience to him ; asserting that by their baptism they are brought into the pale of the church, in which there is salvation, and out of which there is none ; if therefore they renounce
C their

their baptism, received in infancy, or apostatize from the church, their damnation is inevitable ; and thus by his menaces and anathemas, he holds the nations in subjection to him : And when they at any time have courage to oppose him, and act in disobedience to his supreme authority, he immediately lays a whole nation under an interdict ; by which are prohibited, the administration of the sacraments, all public prayers, burials, *christenings*, &c. church-doors are locked up, the clergy dare not or will not administer any offices of their function to any, but such as for large sums of money obtain special privileges from *Rome* for that purpose (*e*) : Now by means of these prohibitions, and particularly of christening or baptizing children, nations are obliged to comply and yield obedience to the bishop of *Rome* ; for it appears most dreadful to parents, that their children should be deprived of baptism, by which they are made Christians, as they are taught to believe, and without which there is no hope of salvation ; and therefore are influenced to give into any thing for the sake of what is thought so very important. Once more, the baneful influence spread by Antichrist over the nations by infant-baptism, is that poisonous notion infused by him, that sacraments, particularly baptism, confer grace *ex opere operato*, by the work done ; that it takes away sin, regenerates men, and saves their souls ; this is charged upon him, and complained of by the antient *Waldenses* in a tract (*f*) of theirs, written in the year 1120. Where speaking of the works of Antichrist, they say, “ the *third* work of *Antichrist* consists in this, that he attributes the regeneration of the holy spirit unto the dead, outward work, baptizing children in that faith, and

(*e*) Abstract of the History of Popery, part i. p. 463. See Fox's Acts and Monuments, vol. 1. p. 326. (*f*) Apud Morland's History of the churches of Piedmont, p. 148.

and teaching that thereby baptism and regeneration must be had ; and therein he confers and bestows orders and other sacraments, and groundeth therein all his Christianity, which is against the holy spirit :” And which popish notion is argued against and exposed by *Robert Smith* the martyr (g) ; on *Bonner*’s saying “ if they (infants) die, before they are baptized, they be damned ; he asked this question, I pray you, my Lord, shew me, are we saved by water or by Christ ? To which *Bonner* replied, by both ; then, said *Smith*, the water died for our sins, and so must ye say, that the water hath life, and it being our servant, and created for us, is our Saviour ; this my Lord is a good doctrine, is it not ?” And this pernicious notion still continues, this old leaven yet remains even in some *Protestant* churches, who have retained it from *Rome* ; hence a child when baptized is declared to be regenerate, and thanks are returned to God that it is regenerate ; and it is taught, when capable of being catechised, to say, that in its baptism it was made a child of God, a member of Christ, and an inheritor of the kingdom of heaven ; which has a tendency to take off all concern, in persons when grown up, about an inward work of grace, in regeneration and sanctification, as a meetness for heaven, and to encourage a presumption in them, notwithstanding their apparent want of grace, that they are members of Christ, and shall never perish ; are children and heirs of God, and shall certainly inherit eternal life. * Wherefore Dr. *Owen* rightly observes (b), “ That the father of lies himself could not easily have devised a doctrine more pernicious, or what proposes a more present and effectual poison to the minds of sinners to be drank in by them.”

C 2

II. The

(g) Fox’s Acts and Monuments, vol. 3. p. 400. (b) Theologoumena, l. 6. c. 3. p. 477.

II. The second article or proposition in the preface is, as asserted by me, that infant-baptism "is the basis of national churches and worldly establishments; that which unites the church and world, and keeps them together;" than which nothing is more evident: If a church is national, it consists of all in the nation, men, women, and children; and children are originally members of it, either so by birth, and as soon as born, being born in the church, in a Christian land and nation, which is the church, or rather by baptism, as it is generally put; so according to the order of the church of *England*, at the baptism of a child, the minister says, "We receive this child into the congregation of Christ's flock." And by the assembly of divines, "Baptism is called a sacrament of the New Testament, whereby the parties baptized are solemnly admitted into the visible church." And to which there is a strange contradiction in the following answer, where it is said, that "baptism is not to be administered to any that are out of the visible church;" but if by baptism the parties baptized are solemnly admitted into the visible church, then before baptism by which they are admitted, they must be out of it: One or other must be wrong; either persons are not admitted into the visible church by baptism, or if they are, then before baptism they are out of it, and have baptism administered to them in order to their being admitted into it; and *Calvin* says, according to whose plan of church-government at *Geneva*, that of the *Scotch* church is planned, that baptism is a solemn introduction into the church of God (i). And *Mr. Baxter* argues, that "if there be neither precept nor example of admitting church-members in all the New Testament but by baptism, then all that are now admitted ought to come in by baptism; but there is
neither

(i) Epist. Calvin. Ep. ad N. S. D. p. 441.

neither precept nor example in all the New Testament of admitting church-members but by baptism ; therefore they ought to come in the same way now." So then infants becoming members of a national church by baptism, they are originally of it ; are the materials of which it consists ; and it is by *the baptism of infants* it is supplied with members, and is supported and maintained ; so that it may be truly said, that infant-baptism is the basis and foundation of a national church, and is indeed the sinews, strength, and support of it : And infants being admitted members by baptism continue such when grown up, even though of the most dissolute lives and conversations, as multitudes of them are ; and many, instead of being treated as church-members, deserve to be sent to the house of correction, as some are, and others are guilty of such flagitious crimes that they die an infamous death ; yet even these die in the communion of the church ; and thus the church and the world are united and kept together till death doth them part.

The *Independents* would indeed separate the church and the world according to their principles ; but cannot do it, being fettered and hampered with infant-church-membership and Baptism, about which they are at a loss and disagreed on what to place it ; some place it on infants interest in the covenant of grace ; and here they sadly contradict themselves or one another ; at one time they say, it is interest in the covenant of grace gives infants a right to baptism, and at another time, that it is by Baptism they are brought and entered into the covenant ; and sometimes it is not in the inward part of the covenant they are interested, only in the external part of it, where hypocrites and graceless persons may be ; but what that external part is no mortal can tell : Others not being satisfied that their infant-seed as such are all interested
in

in the covenant of grace, say, it is not that, but the church-covenant that godly parents enter into, which gives their children with them a right to church-membership and baptism : Children in their minority, it is said, (*k*) covenant with their parents, and so become church-members, and this entitles to baptism ; for according to the old *Independents of New England*, none but members of a visible church were to be baptized (*l*) ; though Dr. *Goodwin* (*m*) is of a different mind : Hence only such as were children of members of churches, even of set members (*n*), as they call them, were admitted, though children of godly and approved Christians ; and though they may have been members, yet if excommunicated, their children born in the time of their excommunication might not be baptized (*o*) ; but those children that are admitted members and baptized, though not confirmed members, as they stile them, till they profess faith & repentance (*p*) ; yet during their minority, which reaches till they are more than thirteen years of age, according to the example of *Ishmael*, and till about sixteen years of age, they are real members to such intents and purposes, as, that if their parents are dismissed to other churches, their children ought to be put into the letters of dismissal with them (*q*) ; and whilst their minority continues, are under church-watch, and subject to the reprehensions, admonitions, and censures thereof

(*k*) Disputation concerning church-members and their children at Boston, p. 12, 13. Hooker's Survey of Church-discipline, part iii. p. 24, 25. (*l*) Cotton's Way of the churches in New-England, p. 81. Boston-Disputation, p. 4. Defence of the Nine Propositions, p. 115. (*m*) Government of the churches of Christ, p. 377. (*n*) Defence of the Nine Propositions, p. 69. (*o*) Cotton's Way, p. 85. Boston-Disp. p. 25. Hooker's Survey, part iii. p. 18. (*p*) Cotton's Holiness of Church-members, p. 19. Boston-Disputa. p. 3. (*q*) Ibid. p. 15.

thereof for their healing and amendment (*r*) as need shall require ; though with respect to public rebuke, admonition, and excommunication, children in their minority are not subject to church-discipline, only to such as is by way of spiritual watch and private rebuke (*s*). The original *Independents*, by the covenant-*seed*, who have a right to church-membership and Baptism, thought only the seed of immediate parents in church-covenant are meant, and not of progenitors (*t*). Mr. *Cotton* says (*u*), " Infants cannot claim right unto Baptism but in the right of one of their parents or both ; where neither of the parents can claim right to the Lord's supper, there their infants cannot claim right to Baptism ;" tho' he afterwards says (*w*), it may be considered, whether the children may not be baptized, where either the grandfather or grandmother (*x*) have made profession of their faith and repentance before the church, and are still living to undertake for the Christian education of the child ; or if these fail, what hinders but that if the parents will resign their infant to be educated in the house of any godly member of the church, the child may be lawfully baptized in the right of its household-governor ? But Mr. *Hooker*, as he asserts (*y*), that children as children have no right to Baptism, so it belongs not to any predecessors, either nearer or farther off removed from the next parents to give right of this privilege to their children ; by which predecessors, he says, includes and comprehends all besides the next parent ; grandfather, great grandfather, &c. So the ministers & messengers of the congregational churches that

(*r*) Cambridge-Platform of Church-Government, p. 18.
 (*s*) Boston-Disp. p. 14. (*t*) Boston-Disp. p. 19. (*u*) Cotton's Way of the Churches, p. 81. (*w*) Ibid p. 115.
 (*x*) Of this see Epist. Calvin. Ep. Farellio, p. 175. & Salden, Otia. Theolog. Exercitat. 7. sect. 21. p. 544. (*y*) Survey of Church-discipline, part iii. p. 13.

that met at the *Savoy* declare (z) ; “ that not only those that do actually profess faith in, and obedience unto Christ, but also the infants of one or both believing parents are to be baptized, and those *only* :” And the commissioners for the review of the Common Prayer, in the beginning of the reign of king *Charles* the second ; those of the *Presbyterian* persuasion moved on the behalf of others, that “ there being divers learned, pious, and peaceable ministers, who not only judge it unlawful to baptize children whose parents both of them are Atheists, Infidels, Heretics, or unbaptized ; but also such whose parents are excommunicate persons, fornicators, or otherwise notorious and scandalous sinners ; we desire, say they, they may not be enforced to baptize the children of such, until they have made open profession of their repentance before Baptism (a) :” But now I do not understand, that the present generation of dissenters of this denomination, adhere to the principles and practices of their predecessors, at least very few of them ; but admit to Baptism, not only the children of members of their churches, but of those who are not members, only hearers, or any that apply to them for the Baptism of their infants, whether gracious or graceless persons : And were only the first sort admitted, children of members, what are they ? No better than others, born in sin, born of the flesh, carnal and corrupt, are of the world, notwithstanding their birth of religious persons, until they are called out of it by the effectual grace of God : And as they grow up, appear to be of the world as others, and have their conversation according to the course of it : And many of them are dissolute in their lives, and scandalous in their conversations ; and yet I do

(z) Declaration of the Faith and Order, &c. c. 29. p. 48.

(a) Proceedings of the Commissioners of both Persuasions, &c, p. 22.

do not understand, that any notice is taken of them in a church-way, as to be admonished, censured, and excommunicated ; but they retain their membership, into which they were taken in their infancy, and continue in it to the day of their death : And if this is not uniting and keeping the world and church together, I know not what is.

Moreover all the arguments that are made use of to prove the church of Christ under the gospel-dispensation to be congregational, and against a national church, are all destroyed by the baptism and membership of infants. It is said in favour of the one, and against the other, that the members of a visible church are saints by calling, such, as in charitable discretion may be accounted so (*b*) ; but are infants who are admitted to membership and baptized, such ? The holiness pleaded for as belonging to them, is only a federal holiness, and that is merely chimerical : They are called to be saints, or saints by effectual calling ? Can they in charitable discretion, or in rational charity be thought to be truly and really holy, or saints, as the churches of the New Testament are said to be ? And if they cannot in a judgment of charity, be accounted real saints, and yet are admitted members of churches, why not others, of whom it cannot be charitably thought, that they are real saints ? Besides, it is said by the *Independents*, “ that members of gospel-churches are saints by calling, visibly manifesting and evidencing by their profession and walk their obedience to that call ; who are further known to each other by their confession of faith wrought in them by the power of God ; and do willingly consent to walk together according to the appointment of Christ, giving up themselves to the Lord and to one another by the will of God, in professed subjection to the ordinances of

D

the

(*b*) Cotton's Way of the churches, &c. p. 56. Cambridge-Platform, c. 3. p. 3.

the gospel (c) :” Now are infants such ? Do they manifest and evidence by a profession and walk their obedience to a divine call ? And if they do not, and yet are admitted members, why not others, who give no more evidence than they do ? Do they make a confession of faith wrought in them ? Does it appear that they have such a faith ? And in a confession made, and so made as to be known by fellow-members ? (And if not, and yet received and owned as members, why not others that make no more confession of faith than they do ? Do infants consent to walk with the church of Christ, and give up themselves to the Lord and one another, and profess to be subject to the ordinances of the gospel ? And if they do not, as most certainly they do not, and yet are members, why may not others be also members on the same footing ? Is it objected to a national church, that persons of the worst of characters are members of it ; and by this means the church is filled with men very disreputable & scandalous in their lives ? And is not this true of infants admitted members in their infancy, who when grown up are very wicked and immoral, and yet their membership continues ? And why not then national churches be admitted of, notwithstanding the above objection ? So that upon the whole, I think, I have good reason to say, “ that there cannot be a full separation of the one from the other, that is, of the church from the world, nor a thorough reformation in religion, until it (infant-baptism) is wholly removed.”

III. In the said preface, I express my firm belief of the entire cessation of infant-baptism, in time to come : My words are, “ though it (infant-baptism) has so long and largely obtained (as it has from the 4th century till now, and over the greater part who have since bore the Christian name) and still does obtain ; I believe

lieve with a firm and unshaken faith, that the time is hastening on, when infant-baptism will be no more practised in the world," I mean in the spiritual reign of Christ ; for in his personal reign there will be no ordinances, nor the administration of them ; and this is explained by what I farther say, " when churches will be formed on the same plan they were in the times of the apostles ; when gospel-doctrine and discipline will be restored to their primitive purity and lustre ; when the ordinances of Baptism and the Lord's supper will be administered as they were first delivered ; all which will be accomplished, when " the Lord shall be king over all the earth, and there shall be one Lord and his name one ;" that is, when there shall be one Lord, one faith, and one baptism, acknowledged by all Christians ; and they will be all of one mind with respect to the doctrines and ordinances of the gospel. And as it becomes every man to give a reason of the faith and hope he has concerning divine things, with meekness and fear ; the reasons of my firm belief, that infant-baptism will be no more practised in the latter day and spiritual reign of Christ, are, some of them suggested in the above paragraph, and others may be added, as

First, Because churches in the time referred to, will be formed on the plan, churches were in the time of the apostles ; that this will be the case, see the prophecies in Is. i. 25, 26. Jer. xxx. 18, 20. Rev. xi. 19. Now the apostolic churches consisted only of baptized believers, or of such who were baptized upon profession of their faith ; the members of the first Christian church, which was at *Jerusalem*, were first baptized upon their conversion, and then added to it ; the next Christian church at *Samaria*, consisted of men and women baptized on believing the gospel, preached by *Philip* ; and the church at *Corinth*, of such who

hearing, believed and were baptized ; and on the same plan were formed the churches at *Rome, Philippi, Colosse*, and others ; nor is there one single instance of infant-baptism and of infant-church-membership in them ; wherefore if churches in the latter day will be on the same plan, then infant-baptism will be no more practised.

Secondly, Because, then the ordinances of the gospel will be administered, as they were first delivered, clear of all present corruption and superstition ; this is what is meant by *the temple of God being opened in heaven*, on the sounding of the seventh trumpet, *Rev. xi. 19. and xv. 5.* which respects the restoration of worship, discipline, doctrines and ordinances, to the free use of them, and to their original purity ; when, as the ordinance of the Lord's supper will be administered, clear of all corruptions & ceremonies introduced by *papists* and retained by *protestants* ; so likewise the ordinance of Baptism both with respect to subject and mode, which as it was first delivered was only administered to persons professing faith and repentance, and that by immersion only ; and if this will be universally administered in the latter day, as in the first ages of Christianity, infant-sprinkling will be practised no more.

Thirdly, Because Christ will then be *king over all the earth* in a spiritual sense ; *one Lord*, whose commands will be obeyed with great precision and exactness, according to his will revealed in his word ; and as Baptism is one of his commands he has prescribed, as he is and will be acknowledged the *one Lord* and head of the church, and not the pope, who will no more be submitted to ; so there will be *one baptism*, which will be administered to one sort of subjects only, as he has directed, and in one manner only, by immersion, of which his Baptism is an example ; and
therefore

therefore I believe that infant-sprinkling will be no more in use.

Fourthly, At this same time the *name* of Christ will be *one*, that is, his religion ; which will be the same, it was at first instituted by him. Now it is various, as it is professed and practised by different persons that bear his name ; but in the latter day, it will be one and the same, in all its branches, as embraced, professed, and exercised, by all that are called Christians ; and as Baptism is one part of it, this will be practised in an uniform manner, or by all alike, that shall name the name of Christ ; for since Christ's name or the Christian religion in all its parts, will be the same in all the professors of it ; I therefore firmly believe, that baptism will be practised alike by all, according to the primitive institution, and consequently, that infant-baptism will be no more : For,

Fifthly, As at this time, the *watchmen will see eye to eye*, Is. lii. 8. the ministers of the gospel will be of one mind, both with respect to the doctrines and duties of Christianity ; will alike preach the one, and practise the other ; so the people under their ministrations, will be all agreed, and receive the truths of the gospel in the love of them, and submit to the precepts and institutions of it, without any difference among themselves, and without any variation from the word of God ; and among the rest, the ordinance of baptism, about which there will be no longer strife ; but all will agree, that the proper subjects of it are believers, and the right mode of it immersion ; and so infant-sprinkling will be no more contended for ; saints in this as in other things will serve the Lord with one consent, Zeph. iii. 9.

Sixthly, Another reason why I firmly believe, infant-baptism will hereafter be no more practised, is, because Antichrist will be entirely *consumed* with the
spirit

spirit or *breath* of Christ's *mouth*, and with *the brightness of his coming*, 2 Theff. ii. 8. that is, with the pure and powerful preaching of his word, at his coming to take to himself his power, and reign spiritually in the churches, in a more glorious manner ; when all Antichristian doctrines and practices will be entirely abolished and cease, even the whole body of Antichristian worship ; not a limb of Antichrist shall remain, but all shall be consumed. Now as I believe, and it has been shewn, that infant-baptism is a part and pillar of popery, a limb of Antichrist, a branch of superstition and will-worship, introduced by the man of sin, when he shall be destroyed, this shall be destroyed with him and be no more.

Seventhly, Though the notion of infant-baptism has been embraced and practised, by many good and godly men in several ages ; yet it is part of the wood, hay, and stubble, laid by them upon the foundation ; is one of those works of theirs, the bright day of the gospel shall declare to be a falshood ; and which the fire of the word will try, burn up, and consume, tho' they themselves shall be saved ; and therefore being utterly consumed, shall no more appear in the world : For,

Eighthly, When the angel shall descend from heaven with great power, and *the earth be lightened with his glory*, which will be at the fall of *Babylon* and ruin of Antichrist, Rev. xviii. 1, 2. such will be the blaze of light then given, that all Antichristian darkness shall be removed, and all works of darkness will be made manifest and cast off, among which infant-baptism is one ; and then *the earth will be full of the knowledge of the Lord as the waters cover the sea*, Is. xi. 9. even of the knowledge of the word, ways, worship, truths, and ordinances of God, and all ignorance of them vanish and disappear ; and then the ordinance of Baptism will appear in its former lustre and purity, and be embraced

braced and submitted to in it ; and every corruption of it be rejected, of which infant-baptism is one.

Ninthly, Whereas the ordinances of the gospel, baptism and the Lord's supper, are to continue until the second coming of Christ, or the end of the world, Matt. xxviii. 19, 20. 1 Cor. xi. 26. and whereas there have been corruptions introduced into them, as they are generally administered, unless among some few ; it is not reasonable to think, that those corruptions will be continued to the second coming of Christ, but that they will be removed before, even at his spiritual coming, or in his spiritual reign : And as with respect to baptism particularly, there must be a mistake on one side or the other, both with respect to subject and mode ; and as this mistake I firmly believe is on the side of the *Pædobaptists* ; so, I as firmly believe for the reason given, that it will be removed, and infant-sprinkling for the future no more used.

Tenthly, The *Philadelphian* church-state, which answers to and includes the spiritual reign of Christ in his churches, is what I refer unto in the preface, as the time when the practice of infant-baptism will cease ; in which I am confirmed, by the characters given of that church and the members of it ; as, that it *kept the word* of Christ ; that is, not only the doctrines of the gospel, which will be then purely preached and openly professed, but the ordinances of it, Baptism and the Lord's supper ; which have been (particularly Baptism) sadly corrupted in almost all the periods of the churches hitherto, excepting the apostolic one ; but will in this period be restored to their pristine purity and glory ; hence it is promised to this church, and that it represents, that because it *kept the word* of Christ's *patience*, truly and faithfully, it should be kept from the hour of temptation that should come on all the earth ; and is exhorted to *hold fast what she had*, both the

the doctrines and ordinances, as they were delivered by Christ and his apostles, and as she now held them in the truth and purity of them. These are the reasons why I believe with a firm and unshaken faith, that the time is coming, and I hope will not be long, when infant-baptism will be no more practised in the world.

Since, now at this time, we are greatly and justly alarmed with the increase of popery ; in order to put a stop to it, let us begin at home, and endeavour to remove all remains of it among ourselves ; so shall we with the better grace, and it may be hoped, with greater success, oppose and hinder the spread of it.

F I N I S.



